UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,265	01/28/2004	Robert B. Dianda	2551	3451
28004 SPRINT	7590 07/11/200	7 .	EXAMINER	
6391 SPRINT I			LEE, BETTY E	
KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				~J		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/766,265	DIANDA, ROBERT B.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Betty Lee	2616			
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication app d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A W -	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY/HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAILY (A) Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Statu	S.					
2a	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Jac</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispo	osition of Claims					
5) 6)	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,8-11 and 15-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 5-7 and 12-14 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Appli	cation Papers					
10	The specification is objected to by the Examine  The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
1) 🔯 2) 🔲 3) 🔯	ment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims **15-21** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The software product should be stored on a computer readable medium. It is suggested that claims 15-21 be written in terms of "computer readable medium encoded with computer executable instructions".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims **1-3, 8-10, and 15-17** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kompella (US 7,136,374).

Regarding claim 1, Kompella teaches a plurality of IWU edge nodes adapted for exchanging MPLS frames with the plurality of client devices (see Fig. 2 Box 212); an IWU switch node communicating with the plurality of IWU edge nodes and adapted for

Art Unit: 2616

exchanging the MPLS frames with the MPLS network, with the IWU switch node being configured to receive a MPLS frame from the MPLS network, with the MPLS frame including an inner label and an outer MPLS label (see col. 9 lines 22-29), process the outer MPLS label in order to determine one designated IWU edge node of the plurality of IWU edge nodes, with the one designated IWU edge node being designated by the outer MPLS label (see col. 9 lines 25-27), and transfer the MPLS frame to the one designated IWU edge node (see col. 9 lines 22-29); and the one designated IWU edge node being configured to transfer the data transported in the MPLS frame to a designated client device that is designated by the inner label of the MPLS frame (see col. 9 lines 27-29).

Regarding claim 2, Kompella further teaches the outer MPLS label specifies a unique IWU edge node of the plurality of IWU edge nodes (see col. 9 lines 22-29).

Regarding claim 3, Kompella further teaches the IWU interpreting the inner label based on the outer MPLS label (see col. 9 lines 62-67).

Regarding claim 8, Kompella teaches an IWU switch node of the IWU receiving a MPLS frame from the MPLS network, with the MPLS frame including an inner label and an outer MPLS label (see col. 9 lines 22-29); the IWU switch node processing the outer MPLS label in order to determine one designated IWU edge node of a plurality of IWU edge nodes, with the one designated IWU edge node being designated by the outer MPLS label (see col. 9 lines 25-27); the IWU switch node transferring the MPLS frame to the one designated IWU edge node; and the one designated IWU edge node

Art Unit: 2616

transferring the data transported in the MPLS frame to a designated client device that is designated by the inner label of the MPLS frame (see col. 9 lines 22-29).

Regarding claim 9, Kompella further teaches the outer MPLS label specifies a unique IWU edge node of the plurality of IWU edge nodes (see col. 9 lines 22-29).

Regarding claim 10, Kompella further teaches the IWU interpreting the inner label based on the outer MPLS label (see col. 9 lines 62-67).

Regarding claim 15, Kompella teaches a control software configured to direct the IWU switch node to receive a MPLS frame from the MPLS network, with the MPLS frame including an inner label and an outer MPLS label, to direct the IWU switch node to process the outer MPLS label in order to determine one designated IWU edge node of the plurality of IWU edge nodes (see col. 9 lines 22-29), with the one designated IWU edge node being designated by the outer MPLS label, to direct the IWU switch node to transfer the MPLS frame to the one designated IWU edge node, and to direct the one designated IWU edge node to transfer the data transported in the MPLS frame to a designated client device that is designated by the inner label of the MPLS frame; and a storage system that stores the control software (see col. 9 lines 22-29).

Regarding claim 16, Kompella further teaches the outer MPLS label specifies a unique IWU edge node of the plurality of IWU edge nodes (see col. 9 lines 22-29).

Regarding claim 17, Kompella further teaches the IWU interpreting the inner label based on the outer MPLS label (see col. 9 lines 62-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,265 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims **4, 11, and 18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kompella in view of Sanderson et al. (US 2004/0223499).

Regarding claims 4, 11, and 18, Kompella teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of stripping off the outer MPLS label. However, Sanderson teaches the IWU switch node configured to strip off the outer MPLS label from the MPLS frame before the IWU switch node transfers the MPLS frame to the designated IWU edge node (see paragraph 142 lines 17-20). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system of Sanderson in the system of Kompella. The motivation for doing so is to increase efficiency by removing an unneeded label.

# Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 5-7 and 12-14 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Basso et al. (US 2004/0174879) and Buyukkoc et al. (US 2006/01014297) are cited to show systems which are considered pertinent to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 10. examiner should be directed to Betty Lee whose telephone number is (571) 270-1412. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9-5 EST and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BL

HASSAN KIZOU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600